

Polygraph Report

Date of Report: 4/23/10

Date of Test: 4/22/10

File # 10 – Private - 130

Individual/Agency Requesting:
Attorney CHAD GREER
Tulsa, Oklahoma

Date of Request: 4/17/10

Examinee: HENDERSON, JEFFERY M. (MICHAEL)

SYNOPSIS

Examinee is a police officer for the City of Tulsa, Oklahoma. He and an Agent with the U S Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms unit reported a controlled buy of 3 ounces of Methamphetamine (Meth) from LARRY and LARITA BARNES on May 8, 2007. The buy was reportedly made through the auspices of an informant named LOGSTON. Ultimately, LOGSTON told Federal prosecutors that the buy from the BARNESES was a set-up; that HENDERSON had given him the 3 ounces of Meth that he was to say he bought from the BARNESES, and no such transaction actually occurred. LOGSTON stated he lied in court when he testified that such a purchase was made, and he now desires to recant his earlier testimony. Federal officials have indicated that LOGSTON took and passed a polygraph examination relative to the veracity of his revised testimony.

It is HENDERSON'S contention that the controlled buy did indeed occur, and he is unsure why LOGSTON would desire to perjure himself by changing his story. HENDERSON agreed to examination by means of polygraph to address the issue of whether the controlled buy did occur.

EXAMINER'S CONCLUSION

It is the opinion of the examiner that the responses of examinee to relevant questions asked of him on this test were Not Indicative of Deception. Those relevant questions and examinee's responses thereto were as follows:

- A. Did you give 3 oz. of meth to LOGSTON on 5/8/2007? RESPONSE : NO
- B. Did you set up the BARNESES by falsifying a purchase of 3 oz. of meth on 5/8/2007?
RESPONSE : NO.
- C. Did you provide false testimony about that controlled buy from the BARNESES?
RESPONSE : NO.

Printed on 4/22/10
at 3:26PM
by CL

Knox Laboratory
5640 S. Memorial Dr.
Tulsa, OK 74145
(918) 622-5669

Order Type: SELF
Accession: 142708
Donor ID: ██████████
Name: HENDERSON, JEFF
Control #: ██████████
Test Date: 4/22/10
Test Time: 15:12

Agency: Self
Requesting Party:
Date Collected: 4/22/10
Time Collected: 250
Collected by: BB
Created by: CL

Test	Result		Flag	Reference Range
Q AMPHETAMINE	0	ng/mL	NEGATIVE	Cutoff: 1000 ng/mL
Q BARBITURATE	0	ng/mL	NEGATIVE	Cutoff: 300 ng/mL
Q BENZODIAZEPINE	0	ng/mL	NEGATIVE	Cutoff: 300 ng/mL
Q THC50	0	ng/mL	NEGATIVE	Cutoff: 50 ng/mL
Q COCAINE	0	ng/mL	NEGATIVE	Cutoff: 300 ng/mL
Q METHADONE	0	ng/mL	NEGATIVE	Cutoff: 300 ng/mL
Q METHAQUALONE	0	ng/mL	NEGATIVE	Cutoff: 300 ng/mL
Q OPIATE	0	ng/mL	NEGATIVE	Cutoff: 2000 ng/mL
Q PCP	0	ng/mL	NEGATIVE	Cutoff: 25 ng/mL
Q PROPOXYPHENE	0	ng/mL	NEGATIVE	Cutoff: 300 ng/mL

REVIEWER BY: LL

FINAL REPORT

Polygraph Examination Worksheet

Date of Report: 4/23/10 Date of Examination: 4/22/10 Field File Number : 10-Private - 130

Location of Examination: Tulsa, Oklahoma Examiner's Name: Bill D. Brown

Examinee's Name (Last, First, Middle) HENDERSON, JEFFERY M. (MICHAEL) SSAN: [REDACTED]

Date of Birth: (MM/DD/YY) [REDACTED] Age: 37 Place of Birth: [REDACTED]

HT: 5'8" WT 160 Sex: M Marital Status: [REDACTED] Current Address: [REDACTED]
OK

Previous Polygraph Examination: None Language During Exam: English Requested by Examinee
Yes No

Education (Total Years)
[REDACTED]

Employment History / Military
1995 - Present - Tulsa, Oklahoma Police Department - Officer.
[REDACTED]

Health / Medications
Good - No medications.

Arrests / Convictions
None admitted.

Check One Only	
Subject	<input type="checkbox"/>
Victim	<input type="checkbox"/>
Witness	<input type="checkbox"/>
Suspect	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Asset	<input type="checkbox"/>
Informant	<input type="checkbox"/>
Applicant	<input type="checkbox"/>

Type of Investigation	
Criminal (State/Local)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Criminal (Federal)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Admin Inquiry	<input type="checkbox"/>
Applicant	<input type="checkbox"/>
Civil	<input type="checkbox"/>
Private	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Total Examiner time (Include Travel) 12
Estimated Property Value N/A

Date (s)	Time In	Time Out
4/22/10	11:50AM	1:55PM

Type Test	ZOC	MGQT	R/I	POT	STIM	Total
No. Series						
No. Charts						
Instrument Serial No:						

Examination Results

DI	<input type="checkbox"/>	INC	<input type="checkbox"/>
NDI	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	<input type="checkbox"/>

Pretest Admissions	<input type="checkbox"/>	Confession	<input type="checkbox"/>
Post Test Admission	<input type="checkbox"/>	Confession	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments (Name of Witness or Interpreter) (Questions on Back)

- | | |
|--|-----|
| 1. Are you usually called JEFF? | Yes |
| 2. Regarding that incident with the meth, do you intend to answer truthfully each question about that? | Yes |
| 3. Are you afraid I might ask a question on this test we have not talked about? | No |
| 4. From your 16 th birthday until 2007, OTTYP, did you ever lie to get yourself out of trouble? | No |
| 5. Did you give 3 oz. of meth to LOGSTON on 5/8/2007? | No |
| 6. Between your 16 th birthday and 2007, did you ever lie to anyone about important matters? | No |
| 7. Did you set up the BARNESES by falsifying a purchase of 3 oz. of meth on 5/8/2007? | No |
| 8. Is this the month of April? | Yes |
| 9. Before 2007, OTTYF, did you ever lie to the authorities? | No |
| 10. Did you provide false testimony about that controlled buy from the BARNESES? | No |

OTTYP = Other Than To Your Parents; high school escapades.

OTTYF = Other Than To Your Father; father was a police officer.

This examination was conducted utilizing a Lafayette Computerized Polygraph System. It is the examiner's conclusion that the responses of examinee to relevant questions asked of him on this test were Not Indicative of Deception: he was not practicing deception in his responses to those questions.

While there were almost no responses in the EDA (Electodermal Activity in the skin of the hand), it is noted that the examinee stated he had gotten less than five (5) hours sleep for each of the past several nights. Fatigue often suppresses responses from this particular component. As a precaution, HENDERSON had a drug analysis conducted within an hour and a half after the conclusion of the polygraph examination. According to his attorney, CHAD GREER, the test did not disclose the presence of any of ten (10) substances for which the drug screen searches.

Further, examinee has allergies of longstanding existence, causing his nose to run almost constantly. As a result, he sniffled often during the course of the test. Some questions had to be repeated because of the timing of these sniffs, and one possible scoring spot was disallowed because of the interference of that sniffing. This examiner could not ascertain a deliberate pattern of sniffing to interfere with the examination process.

BILL D. BROWN